

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/628,174	07/28/2003	Hans Wilfried Peter Koops	8183	5591
· 75	90 10/14/2005	·	EXAM	INER .
Kenneth L. Mitchell		OLSEN, ALLAN W		
Woodling, Kros 9213 Chillicoth			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Kirtland, OH			1763	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				\mathcal{W}			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/628,174	KOOPS ET AL.				
Office Acti	on Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Allan Olsen	1763				
The MAILING DA Period for Reply	ATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	988			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.135(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 August 2005</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-3 and 19-44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 42 and 44 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3.19-41 and 43 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) fil	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
			,				
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited Notice of Draftsperson's Pa Information Disclosure Stat Paper No(s)/Mail Date	tent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te	(2)			

Application/Control Number: 10/628,174

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-3, 19-41 and 43 in the reply filed on August 4, 2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 42 and 44 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Claim Objections

Claims 1, 2 and 24-32 are objected to because of the manner in which various process steps are given one of the following identifiers; "-reaction step-", "-removal step-" and "-cleaning step-".

Claim 34 is objected to because "hydrogenperoxyde" should be -hydrogen peroxide--

Claim 43 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 41. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 35, 36, 38, 39, 41 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 38 and 39 recite the limitation "said electron beam generating electron beam column". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 41 and 43 recite the limitation "the multi-jet supply...". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

The phrases "high current density" and "being well focused" in claim 35 are relative phrases which renders the claim indefinite. These phrases are not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1763

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-3, 19-41 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,677,586 issued to Nasser-Ghodsi et al. (hereinafter, Nasser-Ghodsi) in view of US Patent 6,387,530 issued to Lui et al. (hereinafter, Lui).

Nasser-Ghodsi teaches an electron beam induced chemical etching process.

Nasser-Ghodsi teaches cleaning the surface to be etched using an electron beam induced chemical etching process. Nasser-Ghodsi teaches using an electron beam in conjunction with a molecular beam to cause a chemical reaction between the species in the molecule beam and the surface residue, for example a carbon containing material.

Nasser-Ghodsi teaches applying an energy beam to locally heat the surface in order to bring about the thermal desorption of nonvolatile reaction products. Nasser-Ghodsi teaches using a laser as the energy beam source. See: the abstract; column 2, lines 45-54; column 3, line 65 – column 4, line 17; column 4, line 60 – column 5, line 4; column 5, lines 13-20, 32-38, 52-54; column 6, lines 9-12, 16-18, 27-42, 50-54, 60-62.

Art Unit: 1763

Nasser-Ghodsi does not teach using an electron beam as the energy beam that locally heats the surface and brings about the thermal desorption of nonvolatile reaction products.

Lui teaches localized heating of a substrate by the application of various types of thermal energy beams, which include laser, and electron beams. See: 7, lines 5-12.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to replace the laser beam of Nasser-Ghodsi with an electron beam because Lui teaches that these two methods are functionally equivalent with respect to the local heating of a substrate.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered perfinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allan Olsen whose telephone number is 571-272-1441. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 1-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on 571-272-1435. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/628,174 Page 6

Art Unit: 1763

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Allan Olsen Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1763